

## T.C. BEYKOZ UNIVERSITY RESEARCH PROJECT FUNDING PEER REVIEW REPORT

### PEER

Title, Name, Surname	
Unit, Institution	

# PROJECT

**Project Title** 

#### REVIEW

Review	Review Score	Brief Rationale					
Criteria							
<b>Original Value</b>							
•							
Method							
Method							
Feasibility							
reasibility							
MC de encre e d							
Widespread							
Impact							
<b>1</b>							

# Date / Signature

#### **Review Score System**

Score	Meaning	Meaning Description			
5		The project proposal meets the relevant criteria in all dimensions. The shortcoming is almost non-existent. Minor defects may on an acceptable level.			
4	Good	The project proposal meets the relevant criteria well. However, the proposal still has some points with the potential to be improved.			
3		The project proposal meets the relevant criterion moderately. The proposal needs improvements.			
2		The project proposal does not adequately meet the criteria. There are important shortcomings in the proposal.			
1		The project proposal does not meet the relevant criteria. There are serious deficiencies / shortcomings in the project proposal.			

FormNo	Revizyon Tarihi	Revizyon No	Basım Tarihi	Sayfa				
GS.IKM.F.13	-	-	8.01.2020 **	1/1				
Bu dokümanın güncelliği sadece " <b>BASIM TARİHİNDE</b> " geçerlidir. ** GÜNCEL DOKÜMAN İÇİN AĞA BAKINIZ**								