

BEYKOZ UNIVERSITY
RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT
FUNDING PEER REVIEW REPORT

PEER

Title, Name, Surname	
Unit, Institution	

PROJECT

Project Title	
----------------------	--

REVIEW

Review Criteria	Review Score	Brief Rationale
Research Road Map		
Competency		
Investment		

DATE AND SIGNATURE

Date	
Signature	

Review Score System

Level of Meeting Criteria	Score	Description
Good	6	The project proposal meets the relevant criteria in all dimensions. The shortcoming is almost non-existent.
	5	The project proposal meets the relevant criteria well. However, the proposal has some acceptable shortcomings.
Improvable	4	Although the proposal meets the relevant criteria in general terms, the proposal has points open to improvement and development.
	3	The proposal meets the relevant criterion moderately. There are important issues in the proposal that need to be improved and developed.
Inadequate	2	The proposal does not adequately meet the criteria. There are important shortcomings in the proposal.
	1	The project proposal does not meet the relevant criteria. There are serious deficiencies/ shortcomings in the proposal.